

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/770,661	SAY, SABIT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harry Vartanian	2634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Harry Vartanian.

(3) _____.

 (2) Robert M Brush.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2004
Time: 3:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 14-17, 34, 36, 39, 41, 44, 46, 52-55


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Merits of the claims and possible amendments to differentiate invention over DSLAM art.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)